

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/467,530	12/20/1999	PETER J. DANISH	VAL-458-A	2507
7:	590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER	
ANDREW R BASILE			AGUIRRECHEA, JAYDI A	
YOUNG & BA 3001 WEST BI	SILE PC G BEAVER ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 48084			2834	
TROY, MI 48	8084		DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A I I I A A A	09/467,530	DANISH ET AL.	łÜ,
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Jaydi A. Aguirrechea	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	ss
THE REPLY FILED 28 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to h places the applicatio	o a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main	ig date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se FR 1.136(a) and the approprount of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Off	riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 October 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			h in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel.	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-7, 15-17, 25, 27-44</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)☐ disapproved by t	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:		Take 1	•
•		BURTON S. MULL PRIMARY EXAMIN	

Application No. 09/467,530

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: With respect with the amendment to the specification, it is the Examiner's position that these features (function inherently disclosed in the drawings) are not inherent in the drawings as the applicants say. The after-final amendment does not address the new grounds of rejection presented in the final rejection. Prior art rejections still read on the claim, therefore the rejection is mantained.